

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES ON SEMI- STRONG (WEAK)CJ-TOPLOGICAL SPACES

Narjis. A. Dawood^{*1} and Suaad. G. Gasim²

^{*1}Department of Mathematics - College of Education for Pure Science/ Ibn Al –Haitham- University of Baghdad

²Department of Mathematics - College of Education for Pure Science/ Ibn Al –Haitham- University of Baghdad

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduced new types of spaces as Weak CJ-space, Semi- strong CJ-space and Semi-Weak CJ-space, also we studied the relationship between them and the relation of them with CJ-space and strong CJ-space.

Keywords- *CJ- space, strong CJ-space, Semi- Strong CJ- space, Weak CJ-space and Semi- Weak CJ-space.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider the concepts of compact space and countably compact space, a topological space X is compact if every open cover has a finite subcover (This is equivalent to "closed" and "bounded" in Euclidean space) [1]. A topological space X is countably compact if every countable open cover has a finite subcover (This is equivalent to every infinite set having a cluster point)[2]. In [3] E.Michael introduced the concepts of J-space and Strong J-space.

A topological space X is a J-space if, whenever $\{A, B\}$ is a closed cover of X with $A \cap B$ compact, then A or B is

compact. A space X is a Strong J-space if every compact $K \subset X$ is contained in a compact $L \subset X$ with $X \setminus L$ is

connected. Michael also introduced three classes of spaces which are closely related to J-space and Strong J-space, these spaces are Sime-Strong J-space, Weak J-space and Sime-Weak J-space.

In [4] we introduced the concepts of CJ-space and strong CJ-space by replacing the term compact in the definitions of J-space and Strong J-space, with the term countably compact. In this paper we introduced three types of spaces which are closely linked to CJ-space and Strong CJ-space.

II. SEMI- STRONG CJ- SPACE, WEAK CJ-SPACE AND SEMI- WEAK CJ- SPACE

In this section we define some concept which is important and necessary to get the aim of this paper such Semi-Strong CJ- Space, Weak CJ-space and Semi- Weak CJ- space.

Definition 1.1: A topological space is a Semi- Strong CJ- space if for every countably compact $K \subset X$ there is a countably compact subset L of X such that $K \subset L$ and there exists a connected subset C of X with $C \subset X \setminus K$ and $\bigcup C = X$.

Definition 1.2: A topological space is a Weak CJ-space if, whenever $\{A, B, C\}$ is a closed covering of X with K countably compact and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then A or B is countably compact.

Definition 1.3: A topological space is a Semi- Weak CJ-space if, whenever A and B are disjoint closed subsets of X with countably compact boundaries, then A or B is countably compact.

Theorem 1.4:[4] Let X be any topological space, then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. X is a CJ-space,
2. For any $A \subset X$ with countably compact boundary, $\text{cl}(A)$ or $\text{cl}(X \setminus A)$ is countably compact,
3. If A and B are disjoint closed subsets of X with ∂A or ∂B countably compact, then A or B is countably compact.

Theorem 1.5: Consider the following properties of a topological space,

- a) X is a Strong CJ- space.
- b) X is a Semi- Strong CJ- space.
- c) X is a CJ- space.
- d) X is a Semi- Weak CJ- space.
- e) X is a Weak CJ-space.

Then $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e)$

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b)

Let X be a Strong CJ- space and let $K \subset X$ be a countably compact, then there exists a countably compact subset L of

X such that $K \subset L$ and $X \setminus L$ is connected by definition of Strong CJ-space. Now let $C = X \setminus L$, then C is connected and

$C \subset X \setminus K$ since $K \subset L$, and $C \cup L = X$. Hence X is a Semi- Strong CJ- space.

(b) \Rightarrow (c)

Let X be a Semi- Strong CJ- space and let $\{A, B\}$ be a closed cover of X with $A \cap B$ countably compact, so

there exists a countably compact $L \subset X$ such that $A \cap B \subset L$ and there exists a connected subset C of X with $C \subset X \setminus$

$A \cap B$ and $C \cup L = X$ by definition of Semi- Strong CJ- space. Note that $(A \cap C) \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C = \emptyset$ since $C \subset$

$X \setminus A \cap B$, and that $(A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap C = X \cap C = C$, so we get a disjoint closed cover $\{A \cap C, B \cap C\}$ of

C which is connected, therefore C must be in $A \cap C$ or in $B \cap C$, and thus $C \subset A$ or $C \subset B$. If $C \subset A$, then $C \cap B = \emptyset$, it

follows that $B \subset X \setminus C \subset L$ which is countably compact, so B is countably compact. Similarly if $C \subset B$, then A is countably compact. Hence X is CJ- space.

(c) \Rightarrow (d)

Let X be any CJ- space and let A, B be two disjoint closed subsets of X with countably compact boundaries, then A or B is countably compact by Theorem 1.4. Thus X is Semi-Weak CJ-space.

(d) \Rightarrow (e)

Assume that X is a Semi-Weak CJ- space and let $\{A, B, C\}$ be a closed cover of X with K countably compact and

$A \cap B = \emptyset$. Note that, so

, so $\partial A \subset K \cap A \subset K$, similarly we can prove that $\partial B \subset K$, and thus ∂A and ∂B are countably compact, it follows by (d)

that A or B is countably compact. Hence X is Weak CJ- space.

Remark 1.6: A Semi- Strong CJ-space need not be Strong CJ- space.

For example: Let us take the usual topological space joining $(n,0)$ to $(n+1,1/i)$. Let Y . Then Y is not Strong CJ-space

for if $K \subset Y$ is countably compact, then $Y \setminus K$ is not connected. But Y is Semi- Strong CJ-space, to prove that let. Note

that K_n is countably compact and is connected and $K_n = Y$ for each n . Now let K be a countably compact subset of Y and pick n such that, then $K_n \subset K$.

Remark 1.7: A CJ- space need not be Semi- Strong CJ-space.

For example: Consider the Odd-Even topology defined on the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} this topology is generated by the partition $P = \{\{2k-1, 2k\}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The only countably compact subsets of \mathbb{N} are the finite subsets, so if we take a closed cover $\{A, B\}$ of \mathbb{N} with $A \cap B$ countably compact, that is mean $A \cap B$ is finite set and since the intersection of any two infinite sets in this space must be an infinite set, so A or B must be finite, that is mean A or B is countably compact. Hence \mathbb{N} is CJ-space.

But \mathbb{N} is not Semi- Strong CJ-space since every countably compact subset of \mathbb{N} is finite and every infinite subset of \mathbb{N} is non- connected, so if we take a countably compact subset K of \mathbb{N} and a countably compact subset L of \mathbb{N} such that $K \subset L$ and a connected subset C of \mathbb{N} with $C \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus K$ and $C \cup L = \mathbb{N}$, then C must be infinite which is a contradiction.

Theorem 1.8 [4]: In any metric space (X, d) , the following concepts are equivalent:

1. X is CJ-space.
2. X is Strong CJ-space.
3. X is J-space.
4. X is Strong J-space.

Proposition 1.9 [3]: If X is connected and non- compact, then X is a Strong J- space.

Proposition 1.10: If X is a CJ- space and, then Z is a Semi- Weak CJ- space.

Proof: Let A, B be two disjoint closed subsets of Z with countably compact boundaries, then A or B . Suppose that

B and let $E = \text{cl}(X \setminus B)$, then $\{B, E\}$ is a closed cover of X with $E \cap B = \partial B$ which is countably compact, so B or E is

countably compact since X is CJ- space. But $A \subset E \cup B$, so A or B is countably compact, and thus X is a Semi- Weak

CJ- space.

Remark 1.11: A Semi- Weak CJ- space need not be CJ- space.

For example: Let $Z = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Then X is a CJ- space by Proposition 1.9 and Theorem 1.8, so Z is a Semi- Weak CJ- space by

Proposition 1.10. To see that Z is not a CJ- space, let $\mathcal{C} = \{A, B\}$ is a closed cover of Z with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ the closed

segment joining $(0, 0)$ to $(0, 1)$ which is countably compact, but neither A nor B is countably compact.

Proposition 1.12: Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cover of a topological space X with non- countably compact. If \mathcal{C} are Weak CJ- spaces, then so is X .

Proof: Let $\{A, B, K\}$ be a closed cover of X with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and K is countably compact. To prove A or B is

countably compact, let $\mathcal{C}_i = \{A_i, B_i, K_i\}$, for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Then \mathcal{C}_i is a closed cover of X which is countably compact. Now by using the fact saying that X is Weak CJ- space, we get \mathcal{C}_i is countably compact. Suppose that \mathcal{C}_i is countably compact, we claim that \mathcal{C}_i is also countably compact, for if \mathcal{C}_i is not countably compact, so \mathcal{C}_i must be countably compact since X is Weak CJ- space, it follows that \mathcal{C}_i is countably compact, but \mathcal{C}_i is a closed subset of X , so \mathcal{C}_i must be countably compact which is a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{C}_i is countably compact. Similarly we can prove that A is countably compact whenever \mathcal{C}_i is countably compact.

Remark 1.13: A Weak CJ- space need not be Semi- Weak CJ- space.

For example: Let $Z = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. To see that Z is a Weak CJ- space, let $\mathcal{C} = \{A, B\}$ is a closed cover of Z , and A, B which is non- countably compact, but A, B are both Semi- Weak CJ- space since they are homeomorphic to the space Z of Remark 1.11, and thus they are Weak CJ- space. Hence Z is Weak CJ- space by Proposition 1.12. To see that Z is not a Semi- Weak CJ- space, let $\mathcal{C} = \{A, B\}$ is a closed cover of Z with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and A, B are disjoint closed subsets of Z with countably compact boundaries, but neither A nor B is countably compact.

Proposition 1.14: Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cover of a topological space X with non- countably compact. If \mathcal{C} are Semi- Strong CJ- spaces, then so is X .

Proof: Let $K \subset X$ be a countably compact and let C , then C is a closed subset of K , and thus countably compact subset of

the Semi- Strong CJ- space X , so there exists a countably compact subset C_i of C such that C_i is connected and there exists a connected subset C_i of C such that (for $i=1, 2$), by definition of Semi- Strong CJ- space. Now let C_i , so L is a countably compact

subset of X with $K \subset L$ and $C \cup L = X$ and $C \subset X \setminus K$. It remains to show that C is connected, we need only check that

C_i are connected. Note that, for if C_i , then C_i is a closed subset of L which is countably compact, so C_i is countably compact which is a contradiction. Also we have, and thus C_i . Hence C_i is connected. Therefore X is a Semi- Strong CJ- space.

Definition 1.15: A map f is said to be countably perfect if it is closed and $f(B)$ is countably compact subset of X for every countably compact subset B of Y .

Definition 1.16: A map f is said to be boundary- countably perfect if it is closed and $f(B)$ is countably compact subset of

X for every $y \in Y$.

Theorem 1.17: A topological space (X, τ) is CJ-space if and only if every closed boundary- countably perfect map from X onto a non-countably compact space Y is countably perfect.

Proof: The "if" part

Suppose that X is a CJ-space and Y is a non- countably compact and f is a closed boundary- countably perfect map. We

have to show that f is countably perfect, let $y \in Y$, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is a subset of the CJ-space X with countably compact

boundary, it follows by Theorem 1.13 that either $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact. But $f^{-1}(y)$ is not countably compact, for if $f^{-1}(y)$ is

countably compact, then $Y = \{y\} \cup f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact which is a contradiction. Hence $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact.

The "only if" part

Suppose that every closed boundary- countably perfect map from X onto a non-countably compact space Y is

countably perfect. To prove that X is CJ-space, let $\{A, B\}$ be a closed cover of X with $A \cap B$ countably compact. Let

$Y=X/B$ and $f:X \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map and let A , then f is boundary- countably perfect since it is closed and for each

$y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact because it is either a singleton (for $y \neq y_0$) or a closed subset of $A \cap B$ (for $y = y_0$)

Now if Y is non-countably compact, then f is countably perfect by hypothesis and thus $B = f^{-1}(y_0)$ is countably compact.

If Y is countably compact, so $f(A)$ is countably compact since it is closed subset of Y . On the other hand we have f is

countably perfect since it is closed map and its fibers are either singletons or equal to $A \cap B$. Hence $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$ is countably

compact.

Proposition 1.18: The following properties of a space X are equivalent:

- a) X is a Semi- Weak CJ-space
- b) Iff: $X \rightarrow Y$ is boundary- countably perfect, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is non- countably compact for at most one $y \in Y$.

Proof:(a) \Rightarrow (b)

Suppose that X is a Semi-Weak CJ-space and $y_0 \in Y$, and let A_i (for $i=1, 2$). Then A_i are countably compact since f is boundary- countably perfect, so $f^{-1}(y_0)$ is countably compact by definition of Semi- Weak CJ- space.

(b) \Rightarrow (a)

Suppose X with countably compact boundaries. Define a relation R on X such that $x \sim y$. Then X/R is

Let Y be the quotient space of X with respect to the relation R , and let $f:X \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map, so f is a closed, continuous and onto map. Now to show that f is boundary- countably perfect, it is sufficient to prove that $f^{-1}(y)$ is

countably compact for each $y \in Y$. Let $y \in Y$, then $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : x \sim y\}$.

But are countably compact by hypothesis and $\partial \{y\}$ is also countably compact, so f is boundary- countably perfect

and hence is countably compact by (b).

REFERENCES

1. *T.Babinec, T.Klein, A.Fung, and others, Introduction to Topology, Renzo's Math490, Winter, 2007.*
2. *T.Eisworth, CH and First Countable, Countably Compact Spaces, Topology and its Applications Journal 109(2001)55-73.*
3. *E. Michael, J-spaces, Topology and its Applications 102(2000) 315-339.*
4. *N.A.Dawood and S.G.Gasim, On CJ- Topological Spaces, Journal of Advances in Mathematics, Vol.12 No 3 (2016)5960-5963..*